



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,321	08/27/2001	Eric Lapuyade	PALM-3689	5093
49637	7590	08/28/2006	EXAMINER	
BERRY & ASSOCIATES P.C. 9255 SUNSET BOULEVARD SUITE 810 LOS ANGELES, CA 90069			LEROUX, ETIENNE PIERRE	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2161	

DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/940,321	LAPUYADE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Etienne P LeRoux	2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 July 2006.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,13-19 and 21-23 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-10,13-19 and 21-23 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/3/2006 has been entered.

Claim Status:

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 13-19 and 21-23 are pending; claims 4, 6, 11, 12, and 20 have been cancelled. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 13-19 and 21-23 are rejected as detailed below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fu in view of Pub No US 2002/0116541 issued to Parker et al (hereafter Parker).

Claims 1 and 9:

Fu discloses:

Art Unit: 2161

storing an event, said event comprising a start time based on a first time zone [Fig 15A, home/local time zone]

establishing a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and independent of events user selected type of time, col 2, lines 42-44] associated with said calendar application [Fig 15A, local time zone]

translating the start time from said first time zone to the display time zone to produce a translated start time [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close translated from 4:50PM remote time to 1:50 pm local time]

displaying the event as occurring at the translated start time [Fig 15A]

Fu discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention as noted above but fails to disclose an event comprising an end time. Parker discloses an event comprising an end time. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fu to include an event comprising an end time as taught by Parker for the purpose of providing more complete details regarding an event. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Fu per the above such that the user is able to more accurately plan his/her events by including both the start time and the end time.

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses the display time zone is established by receiving a message from a source external to said handheld computer indicating that a time zone change has occurred [Fu: Figs 5A-B, col 5, lines 28-50]

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the essential elements of the claimed invention as noted above and furthermore, discloses the establishing of the display time zone

further comprises receiving an input from a user confirming a change in time zone [Fu: Figs 5A-B, col 5, lines 28-50, col 4, lines 55-65, col 2, lines 40-43, the system may show events and appointments in the user's own local time (or other user-selected type of time) regardless of where the user presently is].

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses a handheld computer [Parker, paragraph 2]

Claims 2 and 10:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses a daily time grid [Fig 15A].

Claims 3 and 13:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the display time zone is established by a user selection through a user interface element [Fig 15A].

Claim 5:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 1 and 4 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses wherein the message is received from a network service provider [EarthTime module, col 2, lines 17-29].

Claim 7:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses the method is carried out in a palmtop computer [col 2, lines 17-29]

Claim 8:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 1 as noted above and furthermore, Fu discloses an electronic storage medium storing instructions when carried out on a programmed processor [col 2, lines 17-29]

Claim 14:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 9 and 13 as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein said calendar application is further operable to establish the display time zone by a user selection from a display time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [Fu, user selected type of time, col 2, lines 40-45]

Claim 16:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 9 and 13 as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein said calendar application is further operable to establish the event time zone by a user selection from an event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [Fu, Fig 15A]

Claim 18:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 9 as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the display time zone is associated with a first difference between the display time zone and the Greenwich Mean Time; and wherein the event time zone is associated with a second difference between the event time zone and Greenwich Mean Time; and wherein the translating comprises finding a difference between the first and the second difference [Fu, Fig 15B].

Claim 19:

Fu discloses:

a programmed processor [col 2, lines 17-29]

a display [Fig 15A]

a user interface [Fig 15A]

a calendar application running on the programmed processor to store an event associated with a block of time [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A], the calendar application further operating to:

store an event time zone attribute associated with the first time zone [staff meeting, local time, Fig 15A]

establish a display time zone by receiving a message indicating that a time zone change has occurred, and receiving an input from a user confirming a change in time zone [Fu: Figs 5A-B, col 5, lines 28-50, col 4, lines 55-65, col 2, lines 40-43, the system may show events and appointments in the user's own local time (or other user-selected type of time) regardless of where the user presently is].

store a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and is independent of events associated with said calendar application [user selected type of time, col 2, lines 42-44].

wherein said display is for displaying the event as occurring at the translated block of time on the display [Fig 15A, Hong Kong stock market close displayed in local time]

wherein the display time zone is established by a user from a display time zone user interface forming part of the user interface [user manually changes time zone, col 19, line 50]

wherein the event time zone is established by a user selection from an event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface [Fig 15A, user enters event time under home, local or remote time displays]

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses a handheld computer [Parker, paragraph 2]

Claim 21:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 19 as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the event time zone user interface element forming part of the user interface comprises an event time zone menu [Fu, Fig 15A, user enters home time or remote time]

Claim 23:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 19 as noted above and furthermore discloses wherein the display time zone is associated with a first difference between the display time zone and Greenwich Mean Time, and wherein the event time zone is associated with a second difference between the event time zone and Greenwich Mean Time and wherein the translating comprises finding a difference between the first difference and the second difference [Fu, Fig 15B, Fig 9, col 6, lines 28-45]

3. Claims 15, 17 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Fu and Parker and further in view of US Pat No 6,631,402 issued to Devine et al (hereafter Devine).

Claim 15:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 9, 13 and 14 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system.

Claim 17:

The combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claims 9, 13 and 16 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system.

Claim 22:

the combination of Fu and Parker discloses the elements of claim 19 as noted above but does not disclose wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user

interface comprises a display time zone menu. Devine discloses wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu [Fig 9(c), col 18, lines 18-27]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the above combination of references to include wherein the display time zone interface element forming part of the user interface comprises a display time zone menu as taught by Devine for the purpose of providing a convenient method for a user to select a time zone that is supported by the system.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/2006 have been fully considered but are moot based on above new grounds of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Etienne P. LeRoux whose telephone number is (571) 272-4022. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am and 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Etienne LeRoux

8/22/2006

Etienne LeRoux
Primary Examiner